arXiv:q-alg/9506026v1 27 Jun 1995

SCHUR DUALITY IN THE TOROIDAL SETTING

M.Varagnolo [1], **E.Vasserot** [2]

The classical Frobenius-Schur duality gives a correspondence between finite dimensional representations of the symmetric and the linear groups. The goal of the present paper is to extend this construction to the quantum toroidal setup with only elementary (algebraic) methods. This work can be seen as a continuation of [J], [D1] and [C2] (see also [CP] and [GRV]) where the cases of the quantum groups $\mathbf{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(\mathfrak{n}))$, $\mathbf{Y}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ (the Yangian) and $\mathbf{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n))$ are given. In the toroidal setting the two algebras involved are a deformation of Cherednik's double affine Hecke algebra introduced in [C1] and the quantum toroidal group, as given in [GKV]. Indeed, one should keep in mind the geometrical construction in [GRV] and [GKV] in terms of equivariant K-theory of some flag manifolds. A similar K-theoretic construction of Cherednik's algebra has motivated the present work. At last, we would like to lay emphasis on the fact that, contrary to [J], [D1] and [C2], the representations involved in our duality are infinite dimensional. Of course, in the classical case, i.e. $q = 1$, a similar duality holds between the toroidal Lie algebra and the toroidal version of the symmetric group.

1. Definition of the toroidal Hecke algebra.

For any non-negative integer k set

$$[k] = \{0, 1, 2, ..., k\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad [k]^{\times} = [k] \setminus \{0\}.$$

1.1. Definition. *The toroidal Hecke algebra of type* $\mathfrak{gl}(l)$, $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$, *is the unital associative algebra over* $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{y}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{q}^{\pm 1}]$ *with generators*

$$\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \mathrm{X}_j^{\pm 1}, \quad \mathrm{Y}_j^{\pm 1}, \qquad i \in [l-1]^{\times}, \qquad j \in [l]^{\times}$$

and the following relations :

$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} = \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = 1, \qquad (\mathrm{T}_i + 1) \cdot (\mathrm{T}_i - \mathbf{q}^2) = 0,$$

$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1},$$

$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_j = \mathrm{T}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \quad \textit{if } |i - j| > 1,$$

---

[1] Dipartimento di Matematica, via della Ricerca Scientifica, 00133 Roma, Italy. varagnolo@vax.mat.utovrm.it

[2] Ecole Normale Supérieure, 45, rue d'Ulm, 75005 Paris, France. vasserot@dmi.ens.fr

$$\mathrm{X}_0 \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1 = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1 \cdot \mathrm{X}_0, \qquad \mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{X}_j = \mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{X}_j, \qquad \mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j = \mathrm{Y}_j \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i,$$
$$\mathrm{X}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{X}_j, \qquad \mathrm{Y}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j, \qquad \textit{if } j \neq i, i+1$$
$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathbf{q}^2 \cdot \mathrm{X}_{i+1}, \qquad \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} = \mathbf{q}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{i+1},$$
$$\mathrm{X}_2 \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_2^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1 = \mathbf{q}^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathrm{T}_1^2,$$

where $\mathrm{X}_0 = \mathrm{X}_1 \cdot \mathrm{X}_2 \cdots \mathrm{X}_l$.

1.2. Remarks. (i) When $\mathbf{x}$ is taken to be 1 the toroidal Hecke algebra is nothing but the double affine Hecke algebra introduced by Cherednik (see [C1]).
(ii) Note that the map $\mathrm{T}_i \mapsto \mathrm{T}_i^{-1}$, $\mathrm{X}_i \mapsto \mathrm{Y}_i$, $\mathrm{Y}_i \mapsto \mathrm{X}_i$, $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mathbf{y}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q}^{-1}$, extends to an automorphism, Ξ, of $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ over $\mathbb{C}$.

1.3. Given $1 \leq i \leq j < l$ set $\mathrm{T}_{i,j} = \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cdots \mathrm{T}_j$ and $\mathrm{T}_{j,i} = \mathrm{T}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_{j-1} \cdots \mathrm{T}_i$. Then, put $\mathrm{Q} = \mathrm{X}_1 \cdot \mathrm{T}_{1,l-1} \in \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Clearly, $\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}$, $\mathrm{Y}_j^{\pm 1}$, $\mathrm{Q}^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in [l-1]^\times,\ j \in [l]^\times)$ is a system of generators of $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Besides, for any $i \in [l-1]^\times$ a direct computation gives $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{i+1}$, and $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_l \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}^{l-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1$. Indeed we have (see [C1])

Proposition. *The toroidal Hecke algebra* $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ *admits an equivalent presentation in terms of generators*

$$\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}, \quad \mathrm{Y}_j^{\pm 1}, \quad \mathrm{Q}^{\pm 1}, \qquad i \in [l-1]^\times, \qquad j \in [l]^\times,$$

with relations :

$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} = \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = 1, \qquad (\mathrm{T}_i + 1) \cdot (\mathrm{T}_i - \mathbf{q}^2) = 0,$$
$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathrm{T}_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1},$$
$$\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_j = \mathrm{T}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \quad \textit{if } |i-j| > 1,$$
$$\mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j = \mathrm{Y}_j \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i, \qquad \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} = \mathbf{q}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{i+1},$$
$$\mathrm{Y}_j \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j, \qquad \textit{if } j \neq i, i+1$$
$$\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i-1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathrm{T}_i \quad (1 < i < l-1), \qquad \mathrm{Q}^2 \cdot \mathrm{T}_{l-1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-2} = \mathrm{T}_1,$$
$$\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_i \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq l-1), \qquad \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_l \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}^{l-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1.$$

1.4. Let $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}, \dot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)} \subset \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the subalgebras generated respectively by $\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}$, $\mathrm{Y}_j^{\pm 1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}$, $\mathrm{X}_j^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in [l-1]^\times, j \in [l]^\times)$. Thus, $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}$ are isomorphic to the affine Hecke algebra over $\mathcal{A}$ of type $\mathfrak{gl}(l)$, simply denoted $\dot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$.

1.5. Let $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \subset \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathrm{T}_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in [l-1]^\times)$. Thus, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the Hecke algebra over $\mathcal{A}$ of finite type $\mathfrak{gl}(l)$.

1.6. Given complex numbers $q, x, y \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, let $\mathcal{M}_{x,y,q} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be the maximal ideal generated by $\mathbf{q} - q$, $\mathbf{x} - x$ and $\mathbf{y} - y$. Then, put $\mathbb{C}_{x,y,q} = \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{M}_{x,y,q}$ and

$$\ddot{\mathbf{H}} = \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_{x,y,q}, \qquad \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_{x,y,q},$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)} = \dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_{x,y,q}, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)} = \dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathbb{C}_{x,y,q}.$$

2. Definition of the toroidal quantum group.

2.1. Definition. *The toroidal quantum group of type $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$, $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}$, is the unital associative algebra over $\mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{c}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{d}^{\pm 1}, \mathbf{q}^{\pm 1}]$ with generators*

$$\mathbf{e}_{i,k}, \quad \mathbf{f}_{i,k}, \quad \mathbf{k}_{i,k}, \quad \mathbf{k}^{-1}_{i,0}, \qquad i \in [n], \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The relations are expressed in term of the formal series

$$\mathbf{e}_i(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{e}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{f}_i(z) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{f}_{i,k} \cdot z^{-k}, \quad \mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z) = \mathbf{k}^{\pm 1}_{i,0} + \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{k}_{i,\pm l} \cdot z^{\mp l},$$

as follows

$$\mathbf{k}^{-1}_{i,0} \cdot \mathbf{k}_{i,0} = \mathbf{k}_{i,0} \cdot \mathbf{k}^{-1}_{i,0} = 1, \qquad [\mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z), \mathbf{k}^{\pm}_j(w)] = 0, \tag{2.1.1}$$

$$\theta_{a_{ij}}(\mathbf{c}^{-2}\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{+}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{-}_j(w) = \theta_{a_{ij}}(\mathbf{c}^{2}\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{-}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{+}_i(z), \tag{2.1.2}$$

$$\mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) = \theta_{a_{ij}}(\mathbf{c}^{\pm 1}\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z), \tag{2.1.3}$$

$$\mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) = \theta_{-a_{ij}}(\mathbf{c}^{\mp 1}\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{\pm}_i(z), \tag{2.1.4}$$

$$(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}^{-1})[\mathbf{e}_i(z), \mathbf{f}_j(w)] = \delta_{ij}\Big(\delta(\mathbf{c}^{-2} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{+}_i(\mathbf{c} \cdot w) - \delta(\mathbf{c}^{2} \cdot z/w) \cdot \mathbf{k}^{-}_i(\mathbf{c} \cdot z)\Big), \tag{2.1.5}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) = \theta_{a_{ij}}(\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} z/w) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z), \tag{2.1.6}$$

$$\mathbf{f}_i(z) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) = \theta_{-a_{ij}}(\mathbf{d}^{m_{ij}} z/w) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z), \tag{2.1.7}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\{(\mathbf{e}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z_2) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) - (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z_2) + \mathbf{e}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{e}_i(z_2)\}+ \\ +\{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0, \qquad \textit{if} \quad |i-j| = 1,\end{gathered} \tag{2.1.8}$$

$$\begin{gathered}\{\mathbf{f}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z_2) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) - (\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^{-1}) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{f}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z_2) + \mathbf{f}_j(w) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z_1) \cdot \mathbf{f}_i(z_2)\}+ \\ +\{z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2\} = 0, \qquad \textit{if} \quad |i-j| = 1,\end{gathered} \tag{2.1.9}$$

where $\delta(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} z^n$, $\theta_m(z) = \frac{\mathbf{q}^m \cdot z - 1}{z - \mathbf{q}^m}$ *and* a_{ij}, m_{ij}, *are the entries of the following* $[n] \times [n]$-*matrices*

$$\mathrm{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & & 0 & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathrm{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2.2. Remark. Note that, given $a \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, the map $\mathbf{e}_{i,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{e}_{i+1,k}$, $\mathbf{f}_{i,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{f}_{i+1,k}$, $\mathbf{k}_{i,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{k}_{i+1,k}$ $\mathbf{e}_{n,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{e}_{0,k}$, $\mathbf{f}_{n,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{f}_{0,k}$, $\mathbf{k}_{n,k} \mapsto a^k\, \mathbf{k}_{0,k}$ $(i \in [n-1],\ k \in \mathbb{Z})$, extends to an automorphism, Ψ_a, of the $\mathcal{B}$-algebra $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}$.

2.3. Let $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)}, \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(2)} \subset \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the subalgebras generated respectively by $\mathbf{e}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{f}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{k}_{i,k}$, $\mathbf{k}_{i,0}^{-1}$ $(i \in [n]^\times$, $k \in \mathbb{Z})$, and $\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{i,0}$, $\mathbf{f}_i = \mathbf{f}_{i,0}$, $\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm 1} = \mathbf{k}_{i,0}^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in [n])$. The relations involving the Fourier coefficients of $\mathbf{e}_i(z\,\mathbf{d}^{-i})$, $\mathbf{f}_i(z\,\mathbf{d}^{-i})$ and $\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z\,\mathbf{d}^{-i})$ $(i \in [n]^\times)$ are precisely the relations in Drinfeld's "new presentation" of the affine quantum group of type $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1)$ (see [D1]). In another hand the relations involving $\mathbf{e}_i$, $\mathbf{f}_i$ and $\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}$ are the quantum analogue of the Kac-Moody relations of type $A_n^{(1)}$. Thus $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(2)}$ are both isomorphic to the affine quantum group of type $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}(n+1)$, simply denoted by $\dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ (see [B]).

2.4. Let $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \subset \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\mathbf{e}_i$, $\mathbf{f}_i$, $\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in [n]^\times)$. Then $\mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the quantum enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$.

2.5. Given complex numbers $c, d, q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ let $\mathcal{N}_{c,d,q} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be the maximal ideal generated by $\mathbf{c} - c$, $\mathbf{d} - d$, $\mathbf{q} - q$. Then, put $\mathbb{C}_{c,d,q} = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{N}_{c,d,q}$ and

$$\ddot{\mathbf{U}} = \ddot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{C}_{c,d,q}, \qquad \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{C}_{c,d,q},$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)} = \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(1)} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{C}_{c,d,q}, \qquad \dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(2)} = \dot{\mathbf{U}}_{\mathcal{B}}^{(2)} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{C}_{c,d,q}.$$

We say that a $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-module M is **integrable** if

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{[n]}} M^\lambda, \qquad \text{where} \quad M^{(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)} = \{m \in M \mid \mathbf{k}_i \cdot m = q^{\lambda_i} m, \quad \forall i \in [n]\},$$

and the $\mathbf{e}_{i,k}$'s and $\mathbf{f}_{i,k}$'s are locally nilpotent on M. Moreover, a $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-module is said to have **trivial central charge** if its restrictions both to $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$ and $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(2)}$ have (in particular, $c = 1$).

2.6. Fix $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, and suppose that $l \leq n$. Following [CP, 2.5], a $\mathbf{U}$-module is said to be **of level** l if its irreducible components are isomorphic to irreducible components of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. Then a $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module or a $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-module is said to be of level l if it is of level l as a $\mathbf{U}$-module.

3. Definition of the duality functor.

Fix $c, d, x, q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and set $y = 1$.

3.1. Let $\mathbf{V}$ be the fundamental representation of $\mathbf{U}$. It has a basis $\{v_i\}_{i\in[n+1]^\times}$ on which the action of $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{k}_i$ $(i \in [n]^\times)$ is the following

$$\mathbf{e}_i(v_r) = \delta_{r,i+1}\, v_{r-1}, \qquad \mathbf{f}_i(v_r) = \delta_{r,i}\, v_{r+1}, \qquad \mathbf{k}_i(v_r) = q^{\delta_{i,r}-\delta_{i+1,r}}\, v_r.$$

Then, $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is a left $\mathbf{U}$-module for the induced action given by the following coproduct

$$\Delta(\mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_i + 1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_i, \qquad \Delta(\mathbf{f}_i) = \mathbf{f}_i \otimes 1 + \mathbf{k}_i^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_i, \qquad \Delta(\mathbf{k}_i) = \mathbf{k}_i \otimes \mathbf{k}_i.$$

This action commutes with the left $\mathbf{H}$-action given by $\mathrm{T}_i = 1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes \mathrm{T} \otimes 1^{\otimes l-i-1}$, where $\mathrm{T} \in \operatorname{End} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes 2}$ verifies

$$\mathrm{T}(v_r \otimes v_s) = \begin{cases} q^2 v_r \otimes v_s & \text{if } r = s, \\ q v_s \otimes v_r & \text{if } r < s, \\ q v_s \otimes v_r + (q^2 - 1) v_r \otimes v_s & \text{if } r > s. \end{cases}$$

3.2. For any $i \in [n+1]^\times$ define $\mathbf{t}'_i$ to be the automorphism of the algebra $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ given on the Kac-Moody generators by the formula

$$\mathbf{t}'_i(\mathbf{e}_i) = -\mathbf{f}_i \cdot \mathbf{k}_i, \qquad \mathbf{t}'_i(\mathbf{e}_j) = \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{s-a_{ij}} q^{-s}\, \mathbf{e}_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_j \cdot \mathbf{e}_i^{(s)} \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$\mathbf{t}'_i(\mathbf{f}_i) = -\mathbf{k}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i, \qquad \mathbf{t}'_i(\mathbf{f}_j) = \sum_{s=0}^{-a_{ij}} (-1)^{s-a_{ij}} q^{s}\, \mathbf{f}_i^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{f}_j \cdot \mathbf{f}_i^{(-a_{ij}-s)} \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$\mathbf{t}'_i(\mathbf{k}_j) = \mathbf{k}_{s_i(j)},$$

where $\mathbf{e}_i^{(j)}, \mathbf{f}_i^{(j)}$ are the usual quantum divided powers (see [L1, 3.1.1]) and $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$ is the transposition $(i\ i+1)$. Let M' be an integrable $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module. Set $\mathbf{t}''_i \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(M')$ $(i \in [n+1]^\times)$ to be the braid operator defined by

$$\mathbf{t}''_i(m') = \sum_{r-s+t=-k} (-1)^{s+k} q^{s-rt}\, \mathbf{e}_i^{(r)} \cdot \mathbf{f}_i^{(s)} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i^{(t)} \cdot m',$$

where $m' \in M'$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $\mathbf{k}_i \cdot m' = q^k m'$ (see [L1, 5.2.1 and 5.2.3]). We have (see [L1, chapters 5 and 37])

$$\forall m' \in M', \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}, \qquad \mathbf{t}''_i(u \cdot m') = \mathbf{t}'_i(u) \cdot \mathbf{t}''_i(m'). \tag{3.2.1}$$

Similarly, denote by τ the automorphism of the affine Dynkin diagram $A_n^{(1)}$ (with vertices indexed by $1, \ldots, n, n+1$) given by $\tau(i) = i+1$ $(i \in [n]^\times)$, $\tau(n+1) = 1$. Let τ' be the automorphism of the algebra $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ given, in terms of its Kac-Moody generators $\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{f}_i, \mathbf{k}_i$, $(i \in [n+1]^\times)$, by the following rule

$$\tau'(\mathbf{e}_i) = \mathbf{e}_{\tau(i)}, \quad \tau'(\mathbf{f}_i) = \mathbf{f}_{\tau(i)}, \quad \tau'(\mathbf{k}_i) = \mathbf{k}_{\tau(i)}.$$

Put $\mathbf{t}'_{\omega_1} = \tau' \circ \mathbf{t}'_n \circ \mathbf{t}'_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}'_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(\dot{\mathbf{U}})$. Take a right $\dot{\mathbf{H}}$-module M. In particular, M is a right $\mathbf{H}$-module and we can consider the dual left $\mathbf{U}$-module $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. This module is endowed with a structure of left $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module such that for any $m \in M$ and $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ (see [CP])

$$\mathbf{e}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{\theta,j}(\mathbf{v}), \qquad \mathbf{f}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\theta,j}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = m \otimes (\mathbf{k}_\theta^{-1})^{\otimes l}(\mathbf{v}),$$

where $\mathbf{e}_\theta, \mathbf{f}_\theta, \mathbf{k}_\theta \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{V})$ are defined by means of

$$\mathbf{e}_\theta \cdot v_r = \delta_{r,n+1}\, v_1, \qquad \mathbf{f}_\theta \cdot v_r = \delta_{r,1}\, v_{n+1}, \qquad \mathbf{k}_\theta \cdot v_r = q^{\delta_{1,r} - \delta_{n+1,r}}\, v_r,$$

and $\mathbf{f}_{\theta,j} = 1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_\theta \otimes (\mathbf{k}_\theta^{-1})^{\otimes l-j}$, $\mathbf{e}_{\theta,j} = \mathbf{k}_\theta^{\otimes j-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_\theta \otimes 1^{\otimes l-j}$. Until the end of section 3.2 take $M' = M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. Define $\tau'' \in \mathrm{Aut}(M')$ such that

$$\tau''(m \otimes v_{\mathbf{j}}) = m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1^{\delta_{n+1,j_1}} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_2^{\delta_{n+1,j_2}} \cdots \mathrm{Y}_l^{\delta_{n+1,j_l}} \otimes v_{j_1+1} \otimes v_{j_2+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_l+1}$$

where v_{n+2} stands for v_1 and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ is an l-tuple of integers in $[n+1]^\times$. A direct computation gives

$$\forall m' \in M', \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}, \qquad \tau''(u \cdot m') = \tau'(u) \cdot \tau''(m'). \tag{3.2.2}$$

Put $\mathbf{t}''_{\omega_1} = \tau'' \circ \mathbf{t}''_n \circ \mathbf{t}''_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1 \in \mathrm{Aut}(M')$. As a consequence of (3.2.1) and (3.2.2),

$$\forall m' \in M', \quad \forall u \in \dot{\mathbf{U}}, \qquad \mathbf{t}''_{\omega_1}(u \cdot m') = \mathbf{t}'_{\omega_1}(u) \cdot \mathbf{t}''_{\omega_1}(m'). \tag{3.2.3}$$

Example. If $l = 1$ we find

$$\mathbf{t}''_i(m \otimes v_j) = (-1)^{\delta_{i+1,j}} q^{\delta_{ij}} m \otimes v_{s_i(j)} \qquad i \in [n]^\times, \quad j \in [n+1]^\times, \tag{3.2.4}$$

$$\mathbf{t}''_{\omega_1}(m \otimes v_j) = -m \cdot (-q^n \mathrm{Y}_1)^{\delta_{1,j}} \otimes v_j. \tag{3.2.5}$$

For any l-tuple $\mathbf{j} \in ([n+1]^\times)^l$ set $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}} = v_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_l}$.

Lemma. *Let* $\mathbf{j}$ *be non decreasing, and set* $\mathrm{Y}_{1,s} = \mathrm{Y}_1 \cdot \mathrm{Y}_2 \cdots \mathrm{Y}_s$, *with* $\mathbf{j}^{-1}(1) =]0, s]$. *Then*

$$\mathbf{t}''_{\omega_1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = (-1)^{l+s} q^{ns} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{1,s} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}.$$

Proof. From [L1, 5.3.4], for all integrable $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-modules N_1, N_2 and for all $n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_2$

$$\mathbf{e}_i(n_1) \otimes \mathbf{f}_i(n_2) = 0 \implies \mathbf{t}''_i(n_1 \otimes n_2) = \mathbf{t}''_i(n_1) \otimes \mathbf{t}''_i(n_2) \tag{3.2.6}$$

(note that Lusztig uses the opposite coproduct in [L1]). Put $\mathbf{j_1} = (j_1, \ldots, j_{l-1})$ and for any permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$, set $\sigma(\mathbf{j}) = (\sigma(j_1), \ldots, \sigma(j_l))$. We first compute $\mathbf{t}''_n \circ \mathbf{t}''_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1$. Note that $\mathbf{t}''_n \circ \mathbf{t}''_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = m \otimes \mathbf{t}''_n \circ \mathbf{t}''_{n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1(\mathbf{v_j})$. We have $\mathbf{e}_1(\mathbf{v_{j_1}}) \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{j_1}^{-1}(2) \neq \emptyset$ and $\mathbf{f}_1(v_{j_l}) \neq 0$ if and only if $j_l = 1$. Since $\mathbf{j}$ is non decreasing, using (3.2.6) and (3.2.4) we find

$$\mathbf{t}''_1(\mathbf{v_j}) = \mathbf{t}''_1(\mathbf{v_{j_1}}) \otimes \mathbf{t}''_1(v_{j_l}) = \cdots = \bigotimes_{k=1}^{l} \mathbf{t}''_1(v_{j_k}) = (-1)^{a_2} q^{a_1} \mathbf{v}_{s_1(\mathbf{j})},$$

where a_i is the cardinality of $\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i)$. Suppose that

$$\mathbf{t}''_k \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1(\mathbf{v_j}) = (-1)^{a_2 + \cdots + a_{k+1}} q^{k a_1} \mathbf{v}_{s_k \cdots s_1(\mathbf{j})}.$$

Now $\mathbf{e}_{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_{s_k \cdots s_1(\mathbf{j_1})}) \otimes \mathbf{f}_{k+1}(v_{s_k \cdots s_1(j_l)}) \neq 0$ if and only if $(s_k \cdots s_1(\mathbf{j_1}))^{-1}(k+2) \neq \emptyset$ and $j_l = 1$, that is if and only if $\mathbf{j_1}^{-1}(k+2) \neq \emptyset$ and $j_l = 1$. Since $\mathbf{j}$ is non decreasing, using (3.2.6) and (3.2.4) we get the same formula as above for $\mathbf{t}''_{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1(\mathbf{v_j})$. Then, finally

$$\mathbf{t}''_n \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}''_1(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = (-1)^{l-s} q^{ns} m \otimes v_{j_1 - 1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{j_l - 1},$$

where v_0 stands for v_{n+1}. Applying τ'' we find the result. $\square$

3.3. Take now a right $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$-module M and consider its dual left $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$-module $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. Since $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)} \simeq \dot{\mathbf{U}}$ (see 2.3) we can use all the constructions of the section 3.2 for the $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$-module $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. In particular, the formulas for $\mathbf{e}_{n+1}$, $\mathbf{f}_{n+1}$ and $\mathbf{k}_{n+1}$ write as follows

$$\mathbf{e}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = d^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{\theta, j}(\mathbf{v}), \qquad \mathbf{f}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = d \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\theta, j}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{n+1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = m \otimes (\mathbf{k}_\theta^{-1})^{\otimes l}(\mathbf{v}).$$

The $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$-module structure on $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is given in terms of Drinfeld generators by (see [GRV]) :

Theorem. *If* $\mathbf{j}$ *is non decreasing and* $i \in [n]^{\times}$ *we have*

$$\mathbf{e}_i(z)(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = q^{1-t+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k, s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^{n+1-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-},$$

$$\mathbf{f}_i(z)(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = q^{1-s+r} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=r+1}^{s-1} \mathrm{T}_{k, s-1}) \cdot \delta(q^{n+1-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_s) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_s^+},$$

$$\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z)(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = m \cdot \prod_{j_k = i} \theta_1^{\pm}(q^{n+2-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_k) \cdot \prod_{j_k = i+1} \theta_{-1}^{\pm}(q^{n-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_k) \otimes \mathbf{v_j},$$

where $]r, s] = \mathbf{j}^{-1}(i), \quad]s, t] = \mathbf{j}^{-1}(i+1)$,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-} = 0 & \textit{if} \quad \mathbf{j}^{-1}(i+1) = \emptyset, \\ \mathbf{j}_{s+1}^- = (j_1, \ldots, j_s, i, j_{s+2}, \ldots, j_l) & \textit{else,} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_s^+} = 0 & \textit{if} \quad \mathbf{j}^{-1}(i) = \emptyset, \\ \mathbf{j}_s^+ = (j_1, \ldots, j_{s-1}, i+1, j_{s+1}, \ldots, j_l) & \textit{else,} \end{cases}$$

and $\theta_m^{\pm}$ *stands for the Taylor expansion of* θ_m *respectively at* ∞ *and* 0.

Proof. Let us prove the formula involving $\mathbf{e}_{i,h}$. We know that $\mathbf{e}_{1,h} = (-d)^{-h}\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime -h}(\mathbf{e}_1)$ (see [B, 4.6] and 2.3). So (3.2.3) gives

$$\mathbf{e}_{1,h} \circ \mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime -h}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = (-d)^{-h}\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime -h} \circ \mathbf{e}_1(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}). \tag{3.3.1}$$

First of all note that formulas in section 3.1 give (with $\mathbf{j}^{-1}(1) =]0,s]$ and $\mathbf{j}^{-1}(2) =]s,t]$)

$$\mathbf{e}_1(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = q^{1-t+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-}.$$

We have

$$\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime -h} \circ \mathbf{e}_1\,(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = q^{1-t+s}\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime -h} \circ (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1})\,(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-}) =$$

$$= q^{1-t+s}(1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \circ \mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime -h}(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-}),$$

since T_i and $\mathbf{t}_{\omega_1}^{\prime\prime}$ commute. Thus, using lemma 3.2, the right hand side of (3.3.1) is

$$(-1)^{h(s+1+l)}(-d)^{-h}q^{1-t+s-h(s+1)n}(1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1})\,(m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{1,s+1}^{-h} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-}).$$

A similar computation for the left hand side of (3.3.1) gives

$$(-1)^{h(s+l)}q^{-hsn}\mathbf{e}_{1,h}\,(m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{1,s}^{-h} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = (-1)^{h(s+l)}q^{-hsn}\mathrm{Y}_{1,s}^{-h} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{1,h}\,(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}),$$

since Y_i commutes to $\mathbf{e}_{1,h}$. Now Y_i commutes also with $\mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}$ if $i \in [s]^{\times}$. Thus we finally obtain

$$\mathbf{e}_{1,h}\,(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = d^{-h}q^{1-t+s-hn}(1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{s+1}^{-h}\,(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}_{s+1}^-}).$$

For the other cases we proceed in a similar way. Namely, since the i-th fundamental weight ω_i verifies $\omega_i = \tau^i \cdot (s_n \cdot s_{n-1} \cdots s_1)^i$, define $\tau_{\omega_i}^{\prime\prime} = \tau^{\prime\prime i} \circ (\mathbf{t}_n^{\prime\prime} \circ \mathbf{t}_{n-1}^{\prime\prime} \circ \cdots \circ \mathbf{t}_1^{\prime\prime})^i$. Then $\mathbf{e}_{i,h} = (-d)^{-ih}\mathbf{t}_{\omega_i}^{\prime\prime -h}(\mathbf{e}_i)$.
□

Remark. The relations (2.1.3) give in particular

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{2,1} - q\,\mathbf{k}_{2,1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1 = (q - q^{-1})c^{-1}d^{-1}\mathbf{e}_{1,1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_2.$$

Moreover, for any non-decreasing l-tuple $\mathbf{j}$ one gets

$$\mathbf{k}_i(m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}) = q^{\sharp\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i) - \sharp\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i+1)} m \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}},$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{i,1}(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = q^{i-n+\sharp\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i)-\sharp\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i+1)}(1-q^{-2})d^{-i} m \cdot (q^{-1}\sum_{\mathbf{j}_k=i} \mathrm{Y}_k^{-1} - q \sum_{\mathbf{j}_k=i+1} \mathrm{Y}_k^{-1}) \otimes \mathbf{v_j},$$

Thus, the evaluation of the above formula on a non-zero vector of type $m \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l$ gives $c = 1$. In other words, on the dual module $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ the central element c is trivial, even if M is not finite dimensional.

3.4. In the two next sections set $c = 1$ and take a right $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$-module M. In particular M is a right $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$-module and thus $M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is endowed with a $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$-module structure. Let $\psi : M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l} \to M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ be the linear map defined for any l-tuple $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, j_2, ..., j_l)$ and any $m \in M$ by

$$\psi(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = m \cdot \mathrm{X}_1^{-\delta_{n+1,j_1}} \cdot \mathrm{X}_2^{-\delta_{n+1,j_2}} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-\delta_{n+1,j_l}} \otimes v_{1+j_1} \otimes v_{1+j_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{1+j_l}$$

where v_{n+2} stands for v_1.

Proposition. *Given $i \in [n] \setminus \{0, 1\}$, we have the following identities in* $\mathrm{End}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{e}_i(z) \circ \psi &= \mathbf{e}_{i-1}(q^{-1}dz), & \psi^{-2} \circ \mathbf{e}_1(xz) \circ \psi^2 &= \mathbf{e}_n(q^{n-1}d^{1-n}z), \\
\psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{f}_i(z) \circ \psi &= \mathbf{f}_{i-1}(q^{-1}dz), & \psi^{-2} \circ \mathbf{f}_1(xz) \circ \psi^2 &= \mathbf{f}_n(q^{n-1}d^{1-n}z), \\
\psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{k}_i(z) \circ \psi &= \mathbf{k}_{i-1}(q^{-1}dz), & \psi^{-2} \circ \mathbf{k}_1(xz) \circ \psi^2 &= \mathbf{k}_n(q^{n-1}d^{1-n}z).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to prove this proposition we need the following

Lemma. *For any $1 \leq i \leq j < l$ put $\mathrm{Q}_{i,j} = \mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i,j} \in \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, if $i \leq r \leq j$ and $i < t < j$*

$$\mathrm{Q}_{i,j} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{i,j}^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1}, \qquad \mathrm{Q}_{i,j} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{t-1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{i,j}^{-1} = \mathrm{T}_t.$$

Proof. We prove the first equality, the second being similar. First, suppose that $i = 1$ and use a decreasing induction on j. If $j = l - 1$ we get the relation $\mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{Q}^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1}$. Take r such that $1 \leq r \leq j - 1$, then $r \leq j$ and by induction we get

$$\mathrm{X}_1 \cdot \mathrm{T}_{1,j-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_j \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_j^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{1,j-1}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_1^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1}.$$

Since $r \neq j, j + 1$, we have $\mathrm{T}_j \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r = \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_j$ and we are done.
Fix now j and make induction on i, the case $i = 1$ being proved before. Consider $i + 1 \leq r \leq j$. Then $i \leq r$ and by induction we have

$$\mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1,j} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1,j}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_i^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1}.$$

Now $\mathrm{X}_i \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = q^2 \mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{i+1}$, then we find

$$\mathrm{T}_i^{-1} \cdot (\mathrm{X}_{i+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1,j} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_{i+1,j}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{i+1}) \cdot \mathrm{T}_i = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1},$$

i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Q}_{i+1,j} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_r \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{i+1,j}^{-1} = \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i^{-1}.$$

Since $1+r \neq i, i+1$ we have $\mathrm{T}_i \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{r+1} = \mathrm{Y}_{r+1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_i$ and we are through. □

Proof of the proposition. We prove the relation involving $\mathbf{e}_i$. Suppose first that $i \neq 0, 1$. Take a non decreasing l-tuple $\mathbf{j}$. Put

$$\mathbf{j}^{-1}(i-1) =]r,s], \qquad \mathbf{j}^{-1}(i) =]s,t], \qquad \mathbf{j}^{-1}(n+1) =]p,l],$$

$$\mathbf{j_1} = (j_1+1, j_2+1, \ldots, j_p+1, 1, \ldots, 1), \qquad \mathbf{j_2} = (1, \ldots, 1, j_1+1, j_2+1, \ldots, j_p+1).$$

Thus $\mathbf{j_2}^{-1}(i) =]l-p+r, l-p+s]$ and $\mathbf{j_2}^{-1}(i+1) =]l-p+s, l-p+t]$. Set $\mathrm{R}_p = q^{p(p-l)} \mathrm{T}_{p,1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{p+1,2} \cdots \mathrm{T}_{l-1,l-p}$. Then

$$\mathbf{e}_i(z) \circ \psi(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = \mathbf{e}_i(z)(m \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+1}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+2}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v_{j_1}}) = \mathbf{e}_i(z)(m \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+1}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+2}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_p \otimes \mathbf{v_{j_2}}) =$$

$$= q^{1-t+s} m \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_p \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=l-p+s+1}^{l-p+t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,l-p+s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^{n+1-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_{l-p+s+1}) \otimes \mathbf{v_{j_3}},$$

where $\mathbf{j_3} = (\mathbf{j_2})^-_{l-p+s+1}$. On the other side

$$\psi \circ \mathbf{e}_{i-1}(q^{-1} dz)(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = \psi\left(q^{1-t+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^{n+1-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_{s+1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}^-_{s+1}}) \right) =$$

$$= q^{1-t+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^{n+1-i} d^i z \mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{X}_{p+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_p \otimes \mathbf{v_{j_3}}.$$

Now, $\mathrm{R}_p^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_l \cdot \mathrm{X}_{l-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_{p+1} = q^{p(p-l)} \mathrm{P}_p$, where $\mathrm{P}_p = \mathrm{Q}_{l-p,l-1} \cdots \mathrm{Q}_{2,p+1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{1,p}$. Thus the relation follows from

$$\mathrm{P}_p \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(\mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{P}_p^{-1} = (1 + \sum_{k=l-p+s+1}^{l-p+t-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,l-p+s+1}) \cdot \delta(\mathrm{Y}_{l-p+s+1}),$$

which is a consequence of preceding lemma (note that $1 \leq s+1 \leq p$ and $0 < k < p-1$ for any $k \in]s,t[$).

Suppose now that $i = 1$. Set

$$\mathbf{j}^{-1}(n) =]r,s], \qquad \mathbf{j}^{-1}(n+1) =]s,l],$$

$$\mathbf{j_1} = (1, \ldots, 1, 2, \ldots, 2, j_1+2, \ldots, j_r+2), \qquad \mathbf{j_1}^{-1}(1) =]0, s-r], \qquad \mathbf{j_1}^{-1}(2) =]s-r, l-r],$$

$$\mathbf{j_2} = (1, \ldots, 1, 2, \ldots, 2, j_1+2, \ldots, j_r+2), \quad \mathbf{j_2}^{-1}(1) =]0, s-r+1], \quad \mathbf{j_2}^{-1}(2) =]s-r+1, l-r],$$

$$\mathbf{j_3} = (j_1, \ldots, j_r, n, \ldots, n, n+1, \ldots, n+1), \qquad \mathbf{j_3}^{-1}(n) =]r, s+1], \qquad \mathbf{j_3}^{-1}(n+1) =]s+1, l],$$

$$\mathbf{j_4} = (j_1+2, \ldots, j_r+2, 1, \ldots, 1, 2, \ldots, 2), \qquad \mathbf{j_4}^{-1}(1) =]r, s+1], \qquad \mathbf{j_4}^{-1}(2) =]s+1, l].$$

Then

$$\mathbf{e}_1(xz) \circ \psi^2(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = \mathbf{e}_1(xz)(m \cdot \mathrm{X}_{r+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_l^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_r \otimes \mathbf{v_{j_1}}) =$$

$$= q^{1-l+s} m \cdot \mathrm{X}_{r+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_{l}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_r \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s-r+1}^{l-r-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s-r+1}) \cdot \delta(q^n dxz\mathrm{Y}_{s-r+1}) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j_2}}.$$

In another hand,

$$\psi^2 \circ \mathbf{e}_n(q^{n-1} d^{1-n} z)(m \otimes \mathbf{v_j}) = \psi^2 \left(q^{1-l+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{l-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^n dz\mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j_3}} \right) =$$

$$= q^{1-l+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{l-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^n dz\mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{X}_{r+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_{l}^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j_4}} =$$

$$= q^{1-l+s} m \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{l-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(q^n dz\mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{X}_{r+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{X}_{l}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_r \otimes \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j_2}}.$$

Thus it suffices to prove that

$$\text{(3.4.1)} \qquad \mathrm{P}_r \cdot (1 + \sum_{k=s+1}^{l-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s+1}) \cdot \delta(\mathrm{Y}_{s+1}) \cdot \mathrm{P}_r^{-1} = (1 + \sum_{k=s-r+1}^{l-r-1} \mathrm{T}_{k,s-r+1}) \cdot \delta(x\mathrm{Y}_{s-r+1}).$$

Formula (3.4.1) follows from

Lemma. *For any $r < l$ set $\mathrm{P}_r = \mathrm{Q}_{l-r,l-1} \cdots \mathrm{Q}_{2,r+1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{1,r} \in \ddot{\mathbf{H}}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, if $r < s+1$ and $r < k < l$,*

$$\mathrm{P}_r \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{s+1} \cdot \mathrm{P}_r^{-1} = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}^r \cdot \mathrm{Y}_{s-r+1}, \qquad \mathrm{P}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_k \cdot \mathrm{P}_r^{-1} = \mathrm{T}_{k-r}.$$

Proof. By definition of P_r one gets

$$\mathrm{X}_0 \cdot \mathrm{P}_r^{-1} = q^{2r(l-r)} \mathrm{X}_1 \cdot \mathrm{X}_2 \cdots \mathrm{X}_r \cdot \mathrm{T}_{r,1} \cdot \mathrm{T}_{r+1,2} \cdots \mathrm{T}_{l-1,l-r}.$$

Then a direct computation gives $\mathrm{P}_r = q^{2r(r-l)} \mathrm{Q}_{1,l-r}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{Q}_{2,l-r+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathrm{Q}_{r,l-1}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{X}_0$, and the result follows from the preceding lemma. ☐

3.5. Take $\mathbf{e}_0(z), \mathbf{f}_0(z), \mathbf{k}_0(z) \in \mathrm{End}(M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l})[[z^{\pm 1}]]$ such that

$$\mathbf{e}_0(z) = \psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{e}_1(qd^{-1}z) \circ \psi, \quad \mathbf{f}_0(z) = \psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{f}_1(qd^{-1}z) \circ \psi, \quad \mathbf{k}_0(z) = \psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{k}_1(qd^{-1}z) \circ \psi.$$

The operators are thus defined in such a way that if $i \in [n]$ and $x = d^{-n-1} q^{n+1}$,

$$\text{(3.5.1)} \qquad \psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{e}_i(z) \circ \psi = \mathbf{e}_{i-1}(q^{-1}dz), \qquad \psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{f}_i(z) \circ \psi = \mathbf{f}_{i-1}(q^{-1}dz),$$

$$\psi^{-1} \circ \mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z) \circ \psi = \mathbf{k}_{i-1}^{\pm}(q^{-1}dz),$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{-1}(z)$, $\mathbf{f}_{-1}(z)$ and $\mathbf{k}_{-1}^{\pm}(z)$ stand for $\mathbf{e}_n(z)$, $\mathbf{f}_n(z)$ and $\mathbf{k}_n^{\pm}(z)$.

Remark. Let $\mathbf{e}_\theta, \mathbf{f}_\theta, \mathbf{k}_\theta \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbf{V})$ and $\mathbf{f}_{\theta,j}, \mathbf{e}_{\theta,j}$ be defined as in 3.2. A direct computation gives, for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ and $m \in M$,

$$\mathbf{e}_0(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{X}_j \otimes \mathbf{f}_{\theta,j}(\mathbf{v}), \qquad \mathbf{f}_0(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \sum_{j=1}^{l} m \cdot \mathrm{X}_j^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\theta,j}(\mathbf{v}),$$

$$\mathbf{k}_0(m\otimes\mathbf{v}) = m\otimes(\mathbf{k}_\theta^{-1})^{\otimes l}(\mathbf{v}).$$

In particular $\mathbf{k}_0\cdot\mathbf{k}_1\cdots\mathbf{k}_n(m\otimes\mathbf{v}) = m\otimes\mathbf{v}$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem :

Theorem. *Suppose that $x = d^{-n-1}q^{n+1}$ and $c = y = 1$. Then for any right $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$-module M, the preceding formulas give a left integrable $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-module structure on $M\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$. Moreover $M\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ has trivial central charge.*

Proof. By construction, the formulas for $\mathbf{e}_i(z), \mathbf{f}_i(z), \mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z) \in \mathrm{End}(M\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l})[[z^{\pm 1}]]$, $i \in [n]^{\times}$, written in theorem 3.3 give a representation of $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)}$. In order to verify all the relations 2.1, just notice that it's sufficient to verify the relations involving the generators $\Psi^{k}_{qd^{-1}}(\mathbf{e}_i(z))$, $\Psi^{k}_{qd^{-1}}(\mathbf{f}_i(z))$ and $\Psi^{k}_{qd^{-1}}(\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z))$ of the subalgebras $\Psi^{k}_{qd^{-1}}(\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(1)})$ for $k = 1, 2, ..., n$ (see remark 2.2). But from (3.5.1) these generators are equal respectively to $\psi^{k}\circ\mathbf{e}_i(z)\circ\psi^{-k}$, $\psi^{k}\circ\mathbf{f}_i(z)\circ\psi^{-k}$ and $\psi^{k}\circ\mathbf{k}_i^{\pm}(z)\circ\psi^{-k}$ ($i \in [n+1]^{\times}$). Thus we are done. The integrability of $M\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ follows from the integrability of the $\mathbf{U}$-module $\mathbf{V}$. For the central charge see remarks 3.3 and 3.5.

□

4. Definition of an inverse functor.

Fix $c, d, q \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $y = 1$.

4.1. Remarks. (i) Suppose that q is not a root of unity. Then $\mathbf{H}$-modules and integrable $\mathbf{U}$-modules are direct sums of finite dimensional modules (see [L1, 6.3.6] for the $\mathbf{U}$-case). Thus, if $l \leq n$, the Schur duality in the finite case (see [J]) gives indeed an equivalence between the category of $\mathbf{H}$-modules and the category of integrable $\mathbf{U}$-modules of level l.
(ii) Similarly, if q is not a root of unity and $l \leq n$, the affine Schur duality gives indeed an equivalence between the category of $\dot{\mathbf{H}}$-modules and the category of integrable $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$-module with trivial central charge and level l.

4.2. Theorem. *Suppose that $x = d^{-n-1}q^{n+1}$, $c = y = 1$, $l + 1 < n$ and q is not a root of unity. Let M' be an integrable $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-module with trivial central charge and level l. Then there exists a $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$-module, M, such that $M' \simeq M\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ as $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-modules.*

Proof. Given $i = 1, 2$, the restriction of M' to $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(i)}$ is integrable with trivial central charge and level l. Thus, by affine Schur duality (see remark 4.1 (ii)) one gets an $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(i)}$-module, $M^{(i)}$, such that $M' \simeq M^{(i)}\otimes_{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ as $\dot{\mathbf{U}}^{(i)}$-modules. Moreover, the $M^{(i)}$ are isomorphic as $\mathbf{H}$-modules. So just denote them by M. By construction the action of $\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{k}_0$ is as in remark 3.5 and the formulas in theorem 3.3 are valid, where the action of $\mathrm{X}_j, \mathrm{Y}_j \in \ddot{\mathbf{H}}$ on M is given by the $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(2)}$-module and the $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{(1)}$-module structure of M. In order to prove that these actions extend to a $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$-module structure it's sufficient to verify that for any $m \in M$,

$$m\cdot\mathrm{Q}\cdot\mathrm{Y}_1\cdot\mathrm{Q}^{-1} = m\cdot\mathrm{Y}_2 \quad\text{and}\quad m\cdot\mathrm{Q}\cdot\mathrm{Y}_l\cdot\mathrm{Q}^{-1} = x\,m\cdot\mathrm{Y}_1 \tag{4.2.1}$$

where $\mathrm{Q} = \mathrm{X}_1 \cdot \mathrm{T}_{1,l-1}$ (see 1.3). But $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is an equivalence from the category of **H**-modules to the category of integrable **U**-modules of level l (since q is not a root of unity, see 4.1). Thus, if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is a generator of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$, i.e. $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes l} = \mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, the map $M \ni m \mapsto m \otimes \mathbf{v} \in M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is injective for any **H**-module M.

(i) Set $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l$ and $\mathbf{w} = v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l \otimes v_{n+1}$. Then,

$$\mathbf{e}_0(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = q^{1-l} m \cdot \mathrm{Q} \otimes \mathbf{w}.$$

Since $\mathbf{w}$ is a generator of $\mathbf{V}$ the first relation in (4.2.1) follows from $\mathbf{e}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_2^{\pm}(z) = \mathbf{k}_2^{\pm}(z) \cdot \mathbf{e}_0$, which gives

$$\mathbf{e}_0(m \cdot \theta_1^{\pm}(q^n d^2 z \mathrm{Y}_2) \otimes \mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{k}_2^{\pm}(z) \cdot (m \cdot \mathrm{X}_1 \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_l),$$

i.e.,

$$q^{1-l} m \cdot \theta_1^{\pm}(q^n d^2 z \mathrm{Y}_2) \cdot \mathrm{Q} \otimes \mathbf{w} = q^{1-l} m \cdot \mathrm{Q} \cdot \theta_1^{\pm}(q^n d^2 z \mathrm{Y}_1) \otimes \mathbf{w}.$$

(ii) Set $\mathbf{v} = v_1 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l+1}$ and $\mathbf{w} = v_3 \otimes v_4 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l+1} \otimes v_{n+1}$. Suppose now that $n > l+1$. Relations (2.1.3) give

$$d^{-1}(\mathbf{e}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1,-1} - q^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{1,-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_0) \cdot \mathbf{k}_1 = (q^{-1} - q)\mathbf{e}_{0,-1} = d(\mathbf{e}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{n,-1} - q^{-1}\mathbf{k}_{n,-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_0) \cdot \mathbf{k}_n.$$

When evaluated on $m \otimes \mathbf{v}$ it writes

$$d^{-1}\mathbf{e}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_{1,-1} \cdot \mathbf{k}_1(m \otimes \mathbf{v}) = -q^{-1} d\, \mathbf{k}_{n,-1} \cdot \mathbf{e}_0 \cdot \mathbf{k}_n(m \otimes \mathbf{v}),$$

i.e.,

$$d^{n+1} m \cdot \mathrm{Q} \cdot \mathrm{Y}_l \otimes \mathbf{w} = q^{n+1} m \cdot \mathrm{Y}_1 \cdot \mathrm{Q} \otimes \mathbf{w}.$$

Thus, since $\mathbf{w}$ is a generator of $\mathbf{V}$, we get the second relation in (4.2.1). □

5. Conclusion.

Using the theorems 3.5 and 4.2 we get the duality theorem :

Theorem. *Suppose that q is not a root of unity, $x = d^{-n-1}q^{n+1}$, $c = y = 1$ and $l + 1 < n$. Then the functor $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathbf{H}} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes l}$ is an equivalence between the category of right $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$-modules and the category of left integrable $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$-modules with trivial central charge and level l.*

Remark. By duality, since $\ddot{\mathbf{H}}$ admits finite dimensional representations if and only if $x = 1$, the toroidal quantum group $\ddot{\mathbf{U}}$ admits finite dimensional representations if and only if $d^{n+1} = q^{n+1}$.

References

[B] J. Beck, Braid group action and quantum affine algebras. *Commun. Mathem. Phys.*, **165** (1994), 555-568.

[C1] I. Cherednik, Double-affine Hecke algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and MacDonald's operators. *Intern. Math. Research Notices, Duke Math. J.*, **68** (1992), 171-180.

[C2] I. Cherednik, A new interpretation of Gelfand-Tzetlin basis. *Duke Math. J.*, **54** (1987), 563-578.

[CP] V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum Affine Algebras and Affine Hecke Algebras. *Preprint q-alg9501003.*

[D1] V. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and quantized affine algebras. *Soviet. Math. Dokl.*, **36** (1988), 212-216.

[D2] V. Drinfeld, Yangians and degenerate affine Hecke algebras. *Func. Anal. Appl.*, **20** (1986), 62-64.

[GKV] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov, E. Vasserot, Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces. *Mathem. Research Letters*, **2** (1995), 147-160.

[GRV] V. Ginzburg, N. Reshetikhin, E. Vasserot, Quantum groups and flag varieties. *Contemp. Mathem.*, **175** (1994), 101-130.

[J] M. Jimbo, A q-analogue of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **11** (1986), 247-252.

[L1] G. Lusztig, Introduction to Quantum groups. *Progress in Mathem., Birkhäuser*, **110** (1993).

[L2] G. Lusztig, Affine Hecke algebras and their graded version. *Journal of the AMS*, **2** (1989), 599-625.